



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,423	01/07/2002	Robin L. Berg SR.	2549-010-27	4681
75	90 03/03/2003			
Supervisor, Patent Prosecution Services PIPER MARBURY RUDNICK & WOLFE LLP 1200 Nineteenth Stret, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-2412			EXAMINER	
			MEREK, JOSEPH C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N/C				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/036,423	BERG, ROBIN L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph C. Merek	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	anuary 2002 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-72 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 440/a) (d) as (5)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 0.5.0. § 119(8	()-(d) (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ity documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-48, drawn to a rib for a tank and a tank with a rib, classified in class 220, subclass 560.03.
- II. Claims 49-56, drawn to a method of making a rib, classified in class 29, subclass 428.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made by a materially and different process. The rib could be molded in one piece.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to James M Heintz on 2/26/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Upon election of Group one above further election is set forth below.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group A, Fig. 3,

Group B, Fig. 4,

Group C, Fig. 5,

Group D, Fig. 7,

Group E, Fig. 11,

Group F, Fig. 12,

Group G, Fig. 13,

Group H, Fig. 14,

Group I, Fig. 15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3727

Upon election of the species above election of the following sub-species is set forth below. Applicant is required to elect one from each of the following three groups: a, b, and c.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subspecies of the claimed invention: Group a, I- steel as the high modulus material;

II- Graphite as the high modulus material;

III- Titanium as the high modulus material;

IV-Boron as the high modulus material.

Group b, I- dry alarm,

II-wet alarm,

III-pressure alarm,

IV-vacuum alarm.

Group c, I- distance fabric;

II- plastic film.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 3727

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C. Merek whose telephone number is (703) 305-0644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on (703) 308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 308-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

February 26, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5